1	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP	
2	Collier Center 201 East Washington Street, Suite 1600	
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2382 Telephone: (602) 257-5200	
	Facsimile: (602) 257-5299	
4	David J. Bodney (06065)	
5	dbodney@steptoe.com Peter S. Kozinets (019856)	
6	pkozinets@steptoe.com	
7	Of Counsel:	
8	Anti-Defamation League	
9	605 Third Avenue New York, NY 10158-3560	
10	Telephone: (212) 885-7700 Facsimile: (212) 885-5882	
11	Steven M. Freeman	
12	sfreeman@adl.org	
	ssheinberg@adl.org	
13	Deborah Bensinger dbensinger@adl.org	u.
14	Attorneys for Anti-Defamation League UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
15		
16		
17	DISTRICTO	FARIZONA
18	Friendly House, et al.,	No. CV 10-1061-PHX-JWS
19	Plaintiffs,	MOTION FOR LEAVE TO FILE BRIEF OF <i>AMICUS CURIAE</i>
·	vs.	ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE IN
20	Michael B. Whiting, et al.,	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
21	Defendants.	INJUNCTION
22	Detendants.	
23		
24	Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7 and	LRCiv 7.2, the Anti-Defamation League

Pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 7 and LRCiv 7.2, the Anti-Defamation League ("ADL") respectfully moves for leave to file the concurrently-lodged brief as *amicus* curiae in support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction (dkt. 70). ADL seeks to lend its expertise and perspective to this Court concerning the issues of irreparable harm and the public interest. Specifically, ADL wishes to draw to the Court's attention

1

to the substantial likelihood that enforcement of Arizona Senate Bill 1070, as amended ("SB 1070"), will irreparably damage law enforcement's ability to protect all the people of this State from the commission of hate crimes.

4

STATEMENT OF INTEREST AND ARGUMENT

5 6

7

8

9

10 11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23 24

25

26

27

28

ADL is a non-profit organization that fights anti-Semitism and all forms of bigotry, defends democratic ideals and protects civil rights for all. ADL has regional offices across the country, including its Arizona Regional office, which has been based in Phoenix for nearly thirty years. ADL is the leading nongovernmental organization in the United States that trains law enforcement officers on issues of extremism and hate crimes in this country. It provides training through a national network of regional offices to thousands of law enforcement officers to ensure that they know how to recognize and identify hate crimes and investigate them properly and sensitively. Additionally, ADL has trained over 500 key law enforcement leaders at the League's Advanced Training School: Course on Extremist and Terrorist Threats (ATS) in Washington, D.C. In partnership with the United States Holocaust Memorial Museum, ADL has also trained more than 45,000 law enforcement professionals in Law Enforcement and Society: Lessons of the Holocaust, a program that draws on the history of the Holocaust to provide police professionals with an increased understanding of the importance of their relationship to the people they serve and their role as protectors of the Constitution.²

ADL also has unmatched expertise concerning the development of hate crimes legislation at the federal and state levels. In 1981, ADL drafted a model state hate crime law that provides for increased penalties for criminals who target their victims because

http://www.adl.org/learn/learn main training/Advanced Training School.asp (last visited June 21, 2010).

http://www.adl.org/learn/adl_law_enforcement/LEAS+3-09.htm?LEARN Cat=Training&LEARN SubCat=Training News (last visited June 21, 2010); see also http://www.adl.org/learn/adl law enforcement/default.htm (last visited June 21, 2010) and http://www.fbi.gov/page2/marT0/leas 033010.html (last visited June 21, 2010).

of personal characteristics, such as race, religion, national origin, gender or sexual orientation.³ The District of Columbia and 45 states, including Arizona, have enacted statutes based on or similar to ADL's model.⁴ Furthermore, ADL has advocated for laws mandating the collection of statistics about hate crimes. Most recently, ADL played a leading role in advocating for passage of the Matthew Shepard and James Byrd, Jr. Hate Crimes Prevention Act ("HCPA"), signed into law by President Obama on October 28, 2009 (codified at 18 U.S.C. § 249). HCPA gives the United States Department of Justice the power to investigate and prosecute violent crimes where the perpetrator selects the victim because of the person's actual or perceived race, color, religion, national origin, gender, sexual orientation, gender identity or disability.

Through its extensive work with law enforcement and its specialized expertise in hate crimes, ADL is uniquely situated to assist the Court in evaluating the impact of SB 1070 on the reporting and prevention of hate crimes in Arizona. Its proposed *amicus* brief provides additional context and information relating to the preliminary injunction issues raised in this case, beyond that which the parties can offer, which further demonstrates why the Court should enjoin enforcement of SB 1070.

For the foregoing reasons, the Motion for Leave to File Brief of *Amicus Curiae* Anti-Defamation League should be granted.

3 See http://www.adl.org/99hatecrime/text_legis.asp (last visited June 21, 2010).

- 3 -

⁴ See A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(15) (aggravating factor in criminal sentencing includes "[e]vidence that the defendant committed the crime out of malice toward a victim because of the victim's identity in a group listed in § 41-1750, subsection A, paragraph 3, or because of the defendant's perception of the victim's identity in a group listed in § 41-1750, subsection A, paragraph 3"); A.R.S. § 41-1750(A)(3) (discussing "evidence of prejudice based on race, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender or disability"); A.R.S. § 13-1604 (aggravated criminal damage).

	4	
1	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP	
2,	Collier Center 201 East Washington Street, Suite 1600 Phospire Apigona 25004 2282	
3	Phoenix, Arizona 85004-2382 Telephone: (602) 257-5200 Facsimile: (602) 257-5299	
4	•	
5	David J. Bodney (06065) dbodney@steptoe.com	
6	Peter S. Kozinets (019856) pkozinets@steptoe.com	
7	Of Counsel:	
8	Anti-Defamation League 605 Third Avenue	
9	New York, NY 10158-3560 Telephone: (212) 885-7700	
10	Facsimile: (212) 885-5882	
11	Steven M. Freeman sfreeman@adl.org	
12	Steven C. Sheinberg ssheinberg@adl.org	
13	Deborah Bensinger dbensinger@adl.org	
14	Attorneys for Anti-Defamation League	
15		
16		
17	DISTRICT OF ARIZONA	
18	Friendly House, et al.,) No. CV 10-1061-PHX-JWS
19	Plaintiffs,	BRIEF OF AMICUS CURIAE THE ANTI-DEFAMATION LEAGUE IN
20	VS.	SUPPORT OF PLAINTIFFS' MOTION FOR PRELIMINARY
21	Michael B. Whiting, et al.,	INJUNCTION
22	Defendants.	
23	Preliminary Statement	
24	If well-ordered liberty means anything, it must mean that all persons should	
	ı	

If well-ordered liberty means anything, it must mean that all persons should be afforded access to police protection if they become victims of hate crimes. Arizona Senate Bill 1070, as amended ("SB 1070"), impedes that access for *all* Latinos – United States citizens, lawful residents and undocumented immigrants alike. Indeed, as Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction demonstrates, SB 1070 poses a substantial

24 | 25 |

threat of deterring Latinos from reporting crimes or serving as witnesses in criminal investigations. (Dkt. 70 at 33-34.) This *amicus* brief provides additional context and information about a particular and devastating consequence of the rupture in police-community trust that SB 1070 will inevitably cause – the creation of an underclass uniquely vulnerable to increased hate crimes and violence.

As shown below, unless its enforcement is enjoined, SB 1070 is substantially likely to cause irreparable harm to the enforcement of hate crimes legislation in Arizona by driving a wedge between law enforcement and communities whom such laws were specifically designed to protect. That breach in trust will render the State's Latino community uniquely vulnerable to the commission of hate crimes — an outcome fundamentally at odds with the strong public policies embodied in federal and state antihate crimes legislation. Granting the preliminary injunctive relief that Plaintiffs seek will avert irreparable harm that SB 1070 will otherwise inflict on the policing of hate crimes in Arizona, and will advance the vital public interest in ensuring that federal and Arizona anti-hate crimes statutes are enforced to the fullest extent of the law. See Winter v. Natural Res. Def. Council, Inc., 129 S. Ct. 365, 376 (2008).

Argument

I. Federal and State Law Expressly Prohibits the Commission of Hate Crimes Against People of Color, Including Latinos.

Arizona's criminal statutes include strong prohibitions against the commission of hate crimes. A.R.S. § 13-701(D)(15) provides that an aggravating factor in criminal sentencing includes "[e]vidence that the defendant committed the crime out of malice toward a victim because of the victim's identity in a group listed in § 41-1750, subsection A, paragraph 3, or because of the defendant's perception of the victim's identity in a group listed in § 41-1750, subsection A, paragraph 3." A.R.S. § 41-1750(A)(3) concerns "prejudice based on race, color, religion, national origin, sexual orientation, gender or disability." These statutes provide that crimes committed out of hatred towards a victim because of his or her actual or perceived membership in one of

the protected classes recognized in A.R.S. § 41-1750(A)(3) are especially offensive to the public policies of this State and warrant the imposition of aggravated criminal penalties. See also A.R.S. § 13-1604 (defining "aggravated criminal damage"). The federal Hate Crimes Prevention Act of 2009, which gives the United States Department of Justice the power to investigate and prosecute violent crimes where the perpetrator selects the victim because, inter alia, of the person's actual or perceived race, color or national origin, evinces an equally strong federal policy against the commission of hate crimes. 18 U.S.C. § 249.

The issue of hate crimes in our nation and Arizona is far from theoretical. According to statistics gathered by the Federal Bureau of Investigation documenting hate crimes through 2008, hate crimes are at their highest level since 2001.² In 2008, 7,783 hate crimes were reported nation-wide.³ Of those, 561 were motivated by the actual or perceived Hispanic ethnicity of the victim.⁴ In the past 10 years, between 400 and 600 hate crimes against Hispanics have been reported each year.⁵ In Arizona, according to the annual report of the Arizona Department of Public Safety, there were 226 hate crime offenses reported in 2009.⁶ Forty-four of the offenses were based on ethnicity, with 37 "Anti-Hispanic" crimes.⁷ Just last Friday, on June 18, 2010, *The*

See Declaration of Peter S. Kozinets ("Kozinets Decl.") Ex. A, http://www.justice.gov/crt/crim/249fin.php (last visited June 21, 2010) (discussing the HCPA and other federal anti-hate crimes statutes).

² See, e.g., Kozinets Decl. Ex. B, ADL table, "Ten Year Comparison of FBI Hate Crime Statistics (2008-1999), available at http://www.adl.org/combating hate/HCSA 10year.asp (last visited June 21, 2010).

³ Kozinets Decl. Ex. C, Uniform Crime Report, Hate Crime Statistics, 2008. U.S. Department of Justice Federal Bureau of Investigation, table 1, available at http://www.fbi.gov/ucr/hc2008/data/table_01.html (last visited June 21, 2010).

^{25 4} Id.

⁵ Kozinets Decl. Ex. B.

⁶ Kozinets Decl. Ex. D, 2009 Crime in Arizona Report at 125-132, available at http://www.azdps.gov/About/Reports/docs/Crime_In_Arizona_Report_2009 (last visited June 21, 2010).

 $^{^{7}}$ *Id.* at 129.

Arizona Republic reported on the recent murder of Juan Varela in south Phoenix, which authorities have charged as a hate crime.⁸

- II. SB 1070 Will Undermine the Latino Community's Trust in Law Enforcement, Eviscerating the Police's Ability to Enforce Federal and State Anti-Hate Crimes Laws.
 - A. Police Cannot Enforce the Law If Victims and Witnesses Are Unwilling to Come Forward.

The Police Foundation, the International Association of Chiefs of Police, the Major Cities Chiefs Association and Arizona Association of Chiefs of Police have all expressed grave concerns that deputizing local law enforcement officers to enforce immigration law undermines the trust and cooperation of immigrant communities. For example, a 2009 report by the Police Foundation states that "[i]mmigration enforcement by local police undermines their core public safety mission, diverts scarce resources, increases their exposure to liability and litigation, and exacerbates fear in communities already distrustful of police." According to Police Foundation President Hubert Williams:

Police executives have felt torn between a desire to be helpful and cooperative with federal immigration authorities and a concern that their participation in immigration enforcement efforts will undo the gains they have achieved through community-oriented policing practices directed at gaining the trust and cooperation of immigrant communities. As one police chief pointed out during the project, "How do you police a community that will not talk to you?"

The Major Cities Chiefs Association agrees. According to its 2006 Position Statement:

Local agencies have worked very hard to build trust and a spirit of cooperation with immigrant groups through

- 4 -

⁸ Kozinets Decl. Ex. E, Michael Kiefer and Michael Ferraresi, "Phoenix slaying now termed a hate crime," *The Arizona Republic*, B6 (June 18, 2010).

⁹ See, e.g., Kozinets Decl. Ex. F, Arizona Association of Chiefs of Police Statement on Senate Bill 1070, available at http://www.leei.us/main/media/AACOP_STATEMENT_ON_SENATE_BILL_1070.pd f (last visited June 21, 2010).

¹⁰ Kozinets Decl. Ex. G, http://www.policefoundation.org/pdf/strikingRelease.pdf (last visited June 21, 2010).

¹¹ Id.

community based policing and outreach programs and specialized officers who work with immigrant groups. Local agencies have a clear need to foster trust and cooperation with everyone in these immigrant communities. Assistance and cooperation from immigrant communities is especially important when an immigrant, whether documented or undocumented, is the victim of or witness to a crime. These persons must be encouraged to file reports and come forward with information. Their cooperation is needed to prevent and solve crimes and maintain public order, safety, and security in the whole community. . . .

Immigration enforcement by local police would likely negatively effect and undermine the level of trust and between local police cooperation and communities. . . . Undoubtedly legal immigrants would avoid contact with the police for fear that they themselves or undocumented family members or friends may become subject to immigration enforcement. Without assurances that contact with the police would not result in purely civil immigration enforcement action, the hard won cooperation communication and immigrant community would disappear. Such a divide between the local police and immigrant groups would result in increased crime against immigrants and in the broader community, create a class of silent victims and eliminate the potential for assistance from immigrants in solving crimes or preventing future terroristic acts.

In response to the SB 1070, the president of the Major Cities Chiefs Association, San Jose Police Chief Robert Davis, confirmed that "immigration enforcement by local police would likely negatively effect and undermine the level of trust and cooperation between local police and immigrant communities."¹³

B. SB 1070 Will Prevent Effective Enforcement of the Laws Prohibiting Hate Crimes.

Unless its enforcement is enjoined, SB 1070 will create an underclass of people who have no meaningful access to police services out of fear that their perceived immigration status – whether relevant or not – will subject them to heightened law

15

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

1920

21

22

23

24

2526

Kozinets Decl. Ex. H, Major Cities Chiefs Immigration Committee Recommendations, June 2006, available at http://www.majorcitieschiefs.org/pdfpublic/MCC Position Statement REVISED CEF 2009.pdf (emphasis added) (last visited June 21, 2010).

¹³ Kozinets Decl. Ex. I, Kevin Johnson, *Arizona Immigration Law Creates Rift*, USA TODAY, April 26, 2010, available at http://www.usatoday.com/news/nation/2010-04-25-arizona-immigration_N.htm (lasted visited June 21, 2010).

enforcement scrutiny whenever they come into contact with police. In its aim to deal with the issue of undocumented persons living in Arizona, SB 1070 requires local law enforcement officers to determine based on "reasonable suspicion" who may be in the country illegally and process them accordingly. According to a recent study of Latino registered voters in Arizona commissioned by the National Council of La Raza, 85% of Latinos who are legal immigrants or U.S. citizens fear that they will be racially profiled under SB 1070. Because of the new law, 47% report that in the future they would be less likely to report a crime or volunteer information to the police. Simply put, SB 1070 is driving a wedge between local law enforcement agencies and the communities they are entrusted to protect. Because these poll numbers do not reflect the attitudes of non-registered voters (including people who are more likely to be undocumented), the wedge is much deeper and the chasm between police and the Latino community much wider than reflected by the study itself.

As a consequence, Latinos likely will be deterred from reporting or serving as witnesses regarding a range of criminal activities in the community, including hate crimes. ADL knows from long experience advocating for and training law enforcement on the implementation of hate crime laws that close cooperation between local law enforcement and minority communities is essential. If crime and immigration enforcement become intertwined, police may find out-of status persons and their family members hesitant to seek protection, to report crimes committed against them or to serve as witnesses in other crimes – compromising the police's ability to keep the community safe. See Part II.A, supra.

Moreover, when a bias-motivated crime is committed, the victim's entire community may be left feeling victimized, vulnerable, fearful, isolated and unprotected

¹⁴ Kozinets Decl. Ex. J, NCLR commissioned study available at http://www.docstoc.com/docs/38665576/Survey-of-Arizona-Latino-Voters-Post-SB-1070 (last visited June 21, 2010).

¹⁵ See Motion for Leave to File Brief of Amicus Curiae Anti-Defamation League in Support of Plaintiffs' Motion for Preliminary Injunction, at 1-3; Kozinets Decl. Exs. K-M.

by the law. The impact of the crime spreads far beyond the already terrible consequences for the individual victim. Yet hate crimes will go un-reported or underreported if SB 1070 is permitted take effect, because victims and witnesses will hesitate to contact law enforcement if doing so will subject them heightened law enforcement scrutiny and possible detention, arrest or deportation. Rather than making neighborhoods safer, the "Support Our Law Enforcement and Safe Neighborhoods Act" – as the bill's sponsors titled the statute – will have exactly the opposite effect. 16

III. Victims, Their Communities and the Public Will Be Irreparably Harmed by the Under-Reporting of Hate Crimes that Will Inevitably Result from SB 1070, and the Public Interest Strongly Supports Enjoining the Statute's Enforcement.

ADL has monitored and exposed the increasingly hateful anti-immigrant, anti-Latino and anti-Mexican rhetoric that has surrounded the national debate on immigration reform. SB 1070 was passed against this backdrop of anger and frustration in Arizona. The bill's principal sponsor, State Senator Russell Pearce, has been quoted as supporting prior controversial efforts to roundup and deport undocumented immigrants. Other supporters of the bill, including Arizona Governor Jan Brewer, have invoked fears of widespread violence and criminal activity by undocumented immigrants as reasons for the law – even though those fears are

http://www.azleg.gov/legtext/49leg/2r/bills/sb1070s.pdf (last visited June 18, 2010).

¹⁷ Kozinets Decl. Ex. N, ADL Report: "Immigrants Targeted: Extremist Rhetoric Moves into the Mainstream," available at http://www.adl.org/civil_rights/anti_immigrant/ (last visited June 21, 2010).

¹⁸ See, e.g., Kozinets Decl. Ex. O, ADL Report: "Rally in Support of Arizona's New Immigration Law Will Feature Extreme Elements of the Anti-Immigrant Movement," available at http://www.adl.org/civil_rights/rally_anti_immigration.asp (last visited June 21, 2010).

Kozinets Decl. Ex. P, E.J. Montini, "Is SB 1070 the end or the beginning?," *The Arizona Republic*, B1 (May 16, 2010), available at http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/local/articles/2010/05/16/20100516immigration-law-montini.html#ixzz0rUl5AcUz (last visited June 21, 2010).

6

1

2

3

7 8

9 10

11

12

13 14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

contradicted by widely reported criminal statistics showing that border violence in Arizona is at historic lows.²⁰

In this climate, it is critically important that law enforcement be fully able to police the laws against the commission of hate crimes directed at the Latino community. Yet, as discussed above, SB 1070 effectively requires local law enforcement to investigate the immigration status of persons with whom they come into contact if "reasonable suspicion" exists – setting up an inherent conflict that threatens to result in the loss of access to police protection for hundreds of thousands of persons in Arizona. Many legal residents have relatives or friends who are undocumented, or fear that they will be subject to "reasonable suspicion" merely because they "look" or "sound" like "illegal aliens." By putting police and large segments of the community potentially at odds with one another, SB 1070 is likely to create a large population that lacks access to the type of basic police services that the rest of the community takes for granted. This lack of protection – combined with the atmosphere of hateful rhetoric that has marked much of the immigration debate – will create a law enforcement underclass that is vulnerable to the commission of bias-motivated violence and crime. Such a result risks institutionalizing precisely the kinds of harms that the anti-hate crimes laws were designed to prevent. It is contrary to the strong public policies against hate crime embodied in federal and Arizona law, and is inimical to the public's interest in advancing public safety and security.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, SB 1070 will inflict irreparable harm if its enforcement is not enjoined, and the public interest strongly supports entry of a preliminary injunction.

²⁰ See, e.g., Kozinets Decl. Ex. Q, Randal C. Archibold, "In Border Violence, Perception Is Greater Than Crime Statistics," *The New York Times*, A16 (June 20, 2010); Kozinets Decl. Ex. R, Dennis Wagner, "Violence is not up on Arizona border: Mexico crime flares, but here, only flickers," *The Arizona Republic*, A1 (May 2, 2010), available at <a href="http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/articles/2010/05/02/20100502arizona-http://www.azcentral.com/arizonarepublic/news/arti border-violence-mexico.html#ixzz0rUoV3Vu6) (last visited June 21, 2010).

1	Case 2:10-cv-01061-JWS Document 229 Filed 06/21/10 Page 9 of 19	
1	RESPECTFULLY SUBMITTED this 21st day of June 2010.	
2	STEPTOE & JOHNSON LLP	
3	to I Direction CO TV and the second	
4	/s/ Peter S. Kozinets David J. Bodney	
5	Peter S. Kozinets Collier Center	
6	201 East Washington Street, Suite 1600 Phoenix, Arizona 85004	
7	Steven M. Freeman Steven C. Sheinberg	
8	Deborah Bensinger Anti-Defamation League 605 Third Avenue	
9	605 Third Avenue New York, NY 10158-3560	
10	Attorneys for Anti-Defamation League	
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		